

THE DIACHRONIC SYSTEM OF THE LEFT PERIPHERY OF SUBORDINATE CLAUSES IN HUNGARIAN*

0. The problem

elements introducing subclauses in Modern Hungarian:

- simplex complementisers (C heads)

hogy ‘that’, *ha* ‘if’, *mint* ‘than/as’, *mert* ‘because’

- complex complementisers (C heads)

e.g. *hogyha* ‘that if’, *mintha* ‘as if’, *minthogyha* ‘than that if’


- relative pronominal operators (DPs, AdvPs)

e.g. *aki* ‘who-Rel.’, *ahol* ‘where-Rel.’

- combinations of simplex complementisers and relative pronouns

e.g. *mint amilyen* ‘than how’

positions (cf. Rizzi 1997):



relative operators – move to [Spec; CP] via operator movement
 (cf. Chomsky 1977; Kennedy and Merchant 2000; Kántor 2008)

C heads: base-generated in C – Modern Hungarian: only one C head is filled (~ Italian)

system: dynamic from a diachronic perspective

question: how the relation of the individual processes can be described
 different processes vs. different timing

* The present research was funded by the project OTKA-78074.


1. Operators

hogy ‘that’, *ha* ‘if’, *mint* ‘than’, *mert* ‘because’: originally operators
(cf. Juhász 1991, 1992; Haader 1991, 1995)

hogy ‘how’, *ha* ‘when’, *mint* ‘how’, *mert* ‘why’

relative pronouns – e.g. *ki* ‘who’, *mi* ‘what’

position:




2. Grammaticalisation

reanalysis: from operator to C head

relative cycle: an operator (an original pronoun) is reanalysed as a complementiser head
(Roberts and Roussou 2008; van Gelderen 2009)

~ English *that*

further reanalysis: from lower C heads to upper C heads ~ English *that*



functional split taking place in different times

hogy and *ha*: before the Old Hungarian period and in Early Old Hungarian

mint and *mert*: in Old and Middle Hungarian

→ difference in typical positions in Old and Middle Hungarian


ha ‘if’: upper C

hogy ‘that’: upper or lower C

mint ‘than’, *mert* ‘because’: lower C or lower [Spec; CP]

relative pronouns (e.g. *ki* ‘who’) do not develop into C heads – lack of feature loss

positions (Old Hungarian):



3. Combinations

possible co-occurrences in Old and Middle Hungarian:

- upper C + lower C
- upper C + operator (cf. Galambos 1907)


→ *hamint* ‘if as’, *hahogy* ‘if that’, *ha* ‘if’ + relative pronoun

- (5) **Ha** késen **hogy** el nyugot az nap, hamar esőt váry
 if late that PREV set-3.Sg. the sun soon rain-Acc. expect-Imp.2.Sg.
 ‘if the sun has set late, expect rain soon’ (Cis. G3)

→ *hogymint* ‘that than’, *hogymert* ‘that because’, *hogy* + relative pronoun

- (6) olýaat tezők raýtad **hog** kýtöl felz
 such-Acc. do-1.Sg. you-Sup. that what-Abl. fear-2.Sg.
 ‘I will do such on you that you fear’ (SándK. 28)

structures:




negative-like MoodP may appear between the two CPs (cf. Bácskai-Atkári 2011):

hogynemmint ‘that not than’, *hogysemmint* ‘that neither than’

- (8) az mentől alsóbýkban is tob angýal uagon **honnem mýnth** az napnak feneben
 the more down-Ine. also more angel is that.not than the sun-Dat. light-Poss.
 ‘there are more angels in the basest one of them than in the sun’s light’ (SándK. 1v)

structure:



English: similar combinations in Middle English – *if that, for that* (van Gelderen 2005)

- (10) Blameth nat me **if that** ye chese amys. (Chaucer: *The Canterbury Tales: Prologue*)

4. Movement

lower C may move up to the upper one even if the latter is filled → adjunction

Kayne's Linear Correspondence Axiom: adjunction results in the reverse order
(Kayne 1994); cf. also the Mirror Principle of Baker (1985, 1988)

→ *mintha* 'as if', *hogyha* 'that if', *minthogy* 'than that', *merthogy* 'because that'

(11) *kí* *menének* *zocafoc* *zerent* **mint ha** *az* *imadfagra* *mēnenec*
out went-they custom-Poss.3.Pl. according than if the prayer-Subl. go-Cond.3.Pl.
'they went out as was their custom, as if going for prayer' (GuaryK. 113–114)


(12) *hogyha*, *mintha*, *minthogy* and *merthogy* in the gospels:

	Munich Codex (1466)	Jordánszky Codex (1516–1519)	Káldi translation (1626)	Neovulgata (1997)
<i>hogyha</i>	9	8	9	–
<i>mintha</i>	–	1	3	7
<i>minthogy</i>	–	–	4	1
<i>merthogy</i>	–	1	–	–


hogy/ha 'that/if' + relative pronoun combinations: no inverse order as there is no movement
either (not C heads)

grammaticalization: base-generation as a single C head (base-generation more economical
than movement; cf. van Gelderen 2004)

structures:



similarly in structures containing a negative-like MoodP:



English: no such complex complementisers

movement of *that* to higher C: no head adjunction

morphological restrictions – cross-linguistic differences


timing – appearance in combinations vs. moving up

5. Further combinations

- the new grammaticalized simplex upper C heads may co-occur with new operators in the lower [Spec; CP]

→ *mint amilyen* ‘than how-Rel.’, *mint ahány* ‘than how many-Rel.’ (cf. Bácskai-Atkári 2011)

structure:




- grammaticalized complex upper C may co-occur with another in the lower C


earliest grammaticalized complex C: *hogyha* ‘that if’ (*hogy*: preferably moved up)

Haader (2003): *hogyhamint* ‘that if than’, *minthogyha* ‘than that if’

hogyhamint:



minthogyha:



6. Changes

grammaticalization of complementisers in higher C

→ lower C remains unfilled, in Modern Hungarian there are no C + C combinations

(*hamint* ‘if than’, *hahogy* ‘if that’, *hogymint* ‘that than’, *hogymert* ‘that because’)

↔ fully grammaticalized complex C heads

hogy ‘that’: functional change (cf. Bácskai-Atkári 2012)

- general marker of subordination ~ in English (*that*)
- wide range of structures – relative clauses, clauses of reason
- *hogy* + X vs. X + *hogy* complex complementisers usually meaning ‘X’

Conclusion

changes in the Left Periphery: grammaticalization (e.g. the relative cycle)

diachronic processes are similar – differences in timing

References

- Bácskai-Atkári, Júlia (2011) A komparatív operátor esete a mondatbevezetővel: Szintaktikai változások a magyar hasonlító mellékmondatokban. [The Comparative Operator's Affair with the Complementiser: Syntactic Changes in Hungarian Comparative Subclauses] In: Katalin É. Kiss and Attila Hegedűs (eds.) *Nyelvelmélet és diakrónia*. [Language Theory and Diachrony] Budapest–Piliscsaba: Szent István Társulat. 103–119.
- Bácskai-Atkári, Júlia (2012) *The Relative Cycle in Hungarian Declaratives*. Talk delivered to: 14th Diachronic Generative Syntax Conference, Lisbon, University of Lisbon, 4–6 July 2012.
- Baker, Mark (1985) Mirror theory and morphosyntactic explanation. *Linguistic Inquiry* 15. 373–415.
- Baker, Mark (1988) *Incorporation: A Theory of Grammatical Function Changing*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Chomsky, Noam (1977) On WH-movement. In Peter W. Culicover et al. (eds.), *Formal Syntax*. New York: Academic Press. 71–132.
- Galambos, Dezső (1907) *Tanulmányok a magyar relatívum mondattanáról*. [Studies on the Syntax of Hungarian Relatives] Budapest: Athenaeum.
- Haader, Lea (1991) Az alárendelő mondatok: Az alanyi, állítmányi, tárgyi és határozói mellékmondatok. [Subordinate Clauses: Subjective, Predicative, Objective and Adverbial Subclauses] In: Loránd Benkő (ed.), *A magyar nyelv történeti nyelvtana I.: A korai ómagyar kor és előzményei*. [The Diachronic Grammar of the Hungarian Language I: The Early Old Hungarian Period and its Antecedents] Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. 728–741.
- Haader, Lea (1995) Az alárendelő mondatok: Az alanyi, állítmányi, tárgyi és határozói mellékmondatok. [Subordinate Clauses: Subjective, Predicative, Objective and Adverbial Subclauses] In: Loránd Benkő (ed.), *A magyar nyelv történeti nyelvtana II/2.: A kései ómagyar kor: Mondattan. Szöveggrammatika*. [The Diachronic Grammar of the Hungarian Language II/2: The Late Old Hungarian Period: Syntax] Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. 506–665.
- Juhász, Dezső (1991) A kötőszók. [Conjunctions] In: Loránd Benkő (ed.), *A magyar nyelv történeti nyelvtana I.: A korai ómagyar kor és előzményei*. [The Diachronic Grammar of the Hungarian Language I: The Early Old Hungarian Period and its Antecedents] Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. 476–500.
- Juhász, Dezső (1992) A kötőszók. [Conjunctions] In: Loránd Benkő (ed.), *A magyar nyelv történeti nyelvtana II/1.: A kései ómagyar kor: Morfematika*. [The Diachronic Grammar of the Hungarian Language II/1: The Late Old Hungarian Period: Morphology] Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. 772–814.
- Kántor, Gergely (2008) On Hungarian Relative Operators. *The Even Yearbook* 8. 1–12.
- Kayne, Richard (1994) *The Antisymmetry of Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Kennedy, Christopher és Jason Merchant (2000) Attributive Comparative Deletion. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 18. 89–146.
- Roberts, Ian and Anna Roussou (2003) *Syntactic Change: A Minimalist Approach to Grammaticalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- van Gelderen, Elly (2004) *Grammaticalization as Economy*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- van Gelderen, Elly (2009) Renewal in the Left Periphery: Economy and the Complementiser Layer. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 107:2. 131–195.