Denys Teptiuk

(University of Tartu)

How epistemic modal are quotative indexes in Udmurt?

In colloquial Udmurt, there is quite a diverse system of quotative strategies. Besides the autochthonous quotative particles pe and $p\ddot{o}j$ (in Beserman dialect pi – cf. Arkhangelskiy 2014), there are a number of quotative strategies borrowed from Russian. Among those strategies one can find uses of the Russian complementizers $\breve{c}to$ and budto with speech and non-speech verbs (1), the quotative particles mol (2) and deskat, and the new quotative tipa 'like' (3).

- (1) todiśky Kožaškod, **budto** mon ug suppose.PRS.2SG like NEG.PRS.1SG get to know.CN 1s_G val. čto ton vańze umoj *śotod?* 2sg all.ACC3SG correctly give.FUT.2SG be.PST.3SG COMP 'You suppose like I wouldn't know that you will hand out everything correctly?' (Blog subcorpus).
- (2) ...izvińat'śa kari, mol jangyšaj.
 sorry do.PST.1SG like/QI be mistaken.PST.1SG
 'I apologized here like I was wrong' (vk.com).
- (3) hoť ...tipa, pofig valady, hot' әd, 'nе who cares if understand.PRS.2PL if NEG NEG like jyrviśone. mynam 1sg.gen headache.1sG

'[Belosludtsev was talking in Udmurt before singing, without translating into Russian], **like**, who cares whether you understand or not, it is not my problem' (Blog subcorpus).

In Russian, both the complementizer *budto* and the quotative particles *mol* and *deskat'* bear epistemic modal meanings. Differently from the epistemic neutral complementizer *čto*, *budto* is used when the reporter aims to indicate uncertainty or low commitment to the accuracy of a quote (Shvedova 1980: §2277-79; Letuchij 2008: 229-30). The quotative particle *mol* and *deskat'* appear in reported discourse constructions to indicate a difference between the reported and the original text. By using *mol*, a reporter aims to preserve the important information, and the less important facts are either left unspecified or are presented vaguely. Hence, the subjective position of the speaker is present the least. *Deskat'*, on the contrary, occurs quite frequently in contexts where a reporter intends to interpret reported discourse with a tone of subjectivism (Plungjan 2008: 291-93). By using the new quotative *tipa* 'like', the reporter aims to distance him-/herself from the ongoing reported discourse and shows that it is produced with a note of uncertainty (cf. Buchstaller & Van Alphen 2012: XV).

Since it cannot be taken for granted that Russian quotative indexes are replicated into Udmurt together with their epistemic modal meanings, several questions arise. First, it is important to understand whether the epistemic modal meanings are preserved also in colloquial Udmurt. Further, it is interesting to compare the epistemic modal meanings of autochthonous and Russian quotative particles in Udmurt. To answer these questions, qualitative research is carried out. As basic material, data depicting internet communications are used. The choice is motivated by the fact that the language in the social network sites largely reflects actually spoken language in the written form, combining both standard writing and colloquial speech inside one text (Hellasvuo *et al.* 2014: 13; Pischlöger 2014b: 144). The results (i) show the differences between the use of the indigenous and borrowed quotative indexes, (ii) explain the motivation for the borrowing of individual quotatives which lies behind the meanings these markers express both in the matrix and recipient language.

Keywords: quotative indexes, quotative particles, epistemic modality, reported discourse, Udmurt.

References

- Arkhangelskiy, Timofey (2014). "Clitics in the Beserman dialect of Udmurt". Series: Linguistics, WP BRP 10/LNG/2014.
- Buchstaller, Isabelle & Ingrid van Alphen (2012). "Introductory Remarks on New and Old Quotatives". In: Isabelle Buchstaller and Ingrid van Alphen (eds.). Quotatives: Cross-linguistic and Cross-disciplinary Perspectives. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, XII-XXX.
- Helasvuo, Marja-Liisa, Marjut Johansson & Sanna-Kaisa Tanskanen (2014). "Johdatus digitaalisen vuorovaikutukseen [Introduction to the digital interaction]". In: Helasvuo, Marja-Liisa, Marjut Johansson, Sanna-Kaisa Tanskanen (toim.). Kieli verkossa: Näkökulmia digitaaliseen vuorovaikutukseen. Helsinki: Suomen Kirjallisuuden Seura, 9-29.
- Letuchij, Aleksandr (2008). "Сравнительные конструкции, ирреалис и эвиденциальность [Comparative constructions, irrealis and evidentiality]". In: Björn Wiemer and Vladimir Plungjan (eds.). Lexikalische Evidentialitätsmarker in slavischen Sprachen, 217-238
- Pischlöger, Christian (2014b). "Udmurtness in Web 2.0: Urban Udmurts resisting language shift". Finnisch-Ugrische Mitteilungen 38, 143-162.
- Plungjan, Vladimir (2008). "О показателях чужой речи и недостоверности в русском языке [On markers of reported speech and uncertainty in Russian]". In: Björn Wiemer and Vladimir Plungjan (eds.). Lexikalische Evidentialitätsmarker in slavischen Sprachen, 285-311.
- Shvedova, Nataliya (1980). "Русская грамматика. В двух томах. [Russian grammar. In two volumes]", Moscow: Nauka.